

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,063	09/811,063 03/16/2001		Joe A. Harrison	INTL-0519-US (P10729)	7275
21906	7590	11/07/2002			
TROP PRU		*	EXAMINER		
8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100				TRAN, THANH Y	
HOUSTON,	TX 7702	24		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2841	
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/811,063	JOE A. HARRISON					
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
The SEATING DATE of this accomplished	Thanh Y. Tran	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the corresponding address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	<u>uly 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 0.5.6. § 115(a)-(u) or (i).					
<u> </u>	have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 6-7, 9-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harwer (U.S. 5,440,755).

As to claims 6-7, Harwer a circuit board (Fig. 1) comprises circuitry; and a substrate (40) supporting the circuitry and having a contact edge to be inserted into a slot connector housing assembly (28, 30), the substrate (40) *inherently* having an edge profile engaged by a mechanism located inside the slot connector housing assembly (28, 30) to hold the circuit board (40) in the connector housing assembly.

As to claim 9, figure 2b shows a circuit board (40b) wherein the profile comprises a notch formed in an edge of the substrate (40) different from the contact edge.

As to claim 10, figure 1 shows the edge different from the contact edge (44, 42) extends in an orthogonal direction to the contact edge.

Claims 11-12, and 14 recite method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 6-7 and 10.

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer et al (U.S. 5,440,755) in view of Woychik (U.S. 5,629,839).

As to claim 1, Harwer et al discloses a circuit board (Fig. 2b) comprising a substrate; and electrical contacts (44, 42) to mate with a slot connector (28, 30, Fig. 2d), the contacts (44, 42) comprising a first set (44) of at least three uniformly spaced contacts and a second set (42) of at least three uniformly spaced contacts to communicate signals and not to communicate power (see col. 4, lines 15-20); adjacent contacts of the first set (44) being separated by a first distance (see Figs. 2a-2c) and adjacent contacts of the second set (42) being separated by a second distance different from the first distance.

Harwer et al. is silent teaching a first set of at least three uniformly spaced contacts to communicate power. Woychik teaches a substrate (Fig. 8) comprising a first set (152) of contacts to communicate power (see col. 8, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the contacts communicated to the power line, as taught by Woychik, in the prior art of Harwer for providing the voltage to the circuitry of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2841

As to claim 2, figures 2a-2b of Harwer show that the first distance is *approximately* half of the second distance.

As to claim 3, figure 1 and 2d of Harwer show that the substrate (40) comprises an edge to be inserted into a slot connector housing (28, 30), and the first and second set of contacts (44, 42) are formed on the edge.

As to claim 5, the same reasoning applies to claim 5 as discussed in the rejection claim 1 regarding the power line (power regulation circuitry) which regulates voltage provided by the first set of contacts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer (U.S. 5,440,755).

As to claim 4, Harwer is silent teaching the substrate wherein the first distance is approximately 0.05 inches and the second distance establishes a pitch of approximately 0.10 inches. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the circuit board of Harwer to include a specific first distance of approximately 0.05 inches, and a second distance of approximately 0.10 inches for the purpose of intended use, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result

Art Unit: 2841

effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

6. Claims 15-16, 18-19 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883) in view of Pope et al (U.S. 6,135,781).

As to claim 15, Dell et al. discloses a connector (Fig. 8, element 52) comprising: a housing (54) including a slot (56) to receive a circuit board (10), the housing (54); and electrical contacts secured to the housing (54) to establish electrical communication with electrical contact pads (18, 20) of the circuit board (10) (see Fig. 8, col. 6, lines 1-25).

Dell et al. is silent teaching the housing being formed from a material having a thermal conductivity of at least approximately 0.27 W/m-K. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a housing which is formed from a material (liquid crystal polymer such as Zenite) having a thermal conductivity of at least approximately 0.27 W/m-K in the prior art of Dell et al.. Pope et al. teaches a housing (connector) comprising a material of Zenite (see col. 57, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art of Dell et al. by using a material of Zenite (which is inherently having *approximately* 0.27 W/m-K) for making the connector housing as taught by Pope et al.. Because such modification would prevent the disadvantage of high thermal conductivity which may damage to the circuitry of circuit board.

As to claim 16, Dell et al. discloses the material of connector comprises a liquid crystal polymer (plastic) (see col. 6, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2841

Claim 25 recites limitations similar to claim 15. Dell et al. further discloses a slot connector (Fig. 8, element 52) comprising a retention mechanism (58) to engage a profile of the circuit board (10) to secure the circuit board (10) to the slot connector (56).

As to claim 26, figure 8 shows the retention mechanism (58) is located entirely inside the slot (56).

As to claim 27, figure 8 shows the retention mechanism (58) comprises a spring (see col. 6, lines 1-5).

Claims 28-30 recite method steps are inherently performed during the making of product claims 25-27.

Claims 18-19 recite method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 15 and 16.

7. Claims 17, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883) in view of Volz et al. (U.S. 5,353,191).

As to claim 17, Dell et al does not teach the housing (connector) comprising fins to to promote conduction of heat away from the circuit board. Volz et al teaches a housing (10, Fig. 1) comprising fins (20) to promote conduction of heat away from the circuit board (see col 3, line 60 - col. 4, line 16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the housing of Dell et al by including fins for the purpose of providing good heat dissipation from circuit board.

Claims 21, 22, and 24 recite method steps are inherently performed during the making of product claims 15-17.

Art Unit: 2841

Claim 20 recites method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 17.

Claim 23 recites a specific range of ¼ and 3/8 inches of each of the clearances. The same reasoning applies to claim 23, as discussed above in claim 4 regarding the optimum value.

8. Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer et al (U.S. 5,440,755) in view of Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883).

As to claim 8, Harwer is silent teaching that mechanism comprises at least one of a spring located entirely inside the connector housing and a plastic latch internal to the connector housing. Dell et al. discloses a circuit board (Fig. 8, element 10) wherein the mechanism (52) comprises at least one of a spring (58) located entirely inside the connector housing and a plastic latch internal to the connector housing (see col. 6, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plastic socket comprising at least one of a spring, as taught by Dell et al., in the prior art of Harwer for holding the substrate within the slot connector housing assembly securely.

Claim 13 recites method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claim 8.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cobaugh et al. (U.S. 4,241,381) teaches relevant prior art to the invention.

Art Unit: 2841

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TYT

DAVID MARTIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800